



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Sprint Docket No. 2454)

In re Application of:

Sankaranaraynan et al.

Serial No.: 10/789,766

Filed: February 27, 2004

**For: Method and System to Support Internal
Calling Upon Loss of Connection with
IP Centrex Server**

Confirmation No. 1895

Group Art Unit: 2416

Examiner: Curtis A. Alia

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:


Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application. Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.


Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/6/09

By:


Steven J. Funk
Reg. No. 35,875



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Sprint Docket No. 2454)**

In re Application of:)
Sankaranarayanan et al.) Confirmation No. 1895
Serial No.: 10/789,766)
Filed: February 27, 2004) Group Art Unit: 2416
For: Method and System to Support Internal) Examiner: Curtis A. Alia
Calling Upon Loss of Connection with)
IP Centrex Server)

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:


Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application. Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/6/09

By:


Steven J. Funk
Reg. No. 35,875